

Referenzen

- 1 SBF. Bibliometrische Untersuchung zur Forschung in der Schweiz 1981-2011 www.sbf.admin.ch/dokumentation/00335/01740/index.html?lang=de, 2014.
- 2 SBF. Switzerland – The place for education, research and innovation. www.sbf.admin.ch/dokumentation/01816/index.html?lang=en, 2015.
- 3 Die Forschung in der Glaubwürdigkeitskrise Tages-Anzeiger, 2015; www.tagesanzeiger.ch/wissen/medizin-und-psychologie/Die-Forschung-in-der-Glaubwuerdigkeitskrise-/story/24741498.
- 4 Loder E, Godlee F, Barbour V, Winker M. Restoring the integrity of the clinical trial evidence base. *BMJ*. 2015; 346: f3601.
- 5 Roston M. Retracted Scientific Studies: A Growing List. *New York Times*, 2015; www.nytimes.com/interactive/2015/05/28/science/retractions-scientific-studies.html?_r=0.
- 6 Ioannidis JP. How to make more published research true. *PLoS Med*. 2014; 11: e1001747.
- 7 Every-Palmer S, Howick J. How evidence-based medicine is failing due to biased trials and selective publication. *J Eval Clin Pract*, 2014; 20:908–14.
- 8 Obrist R. Only conflicts of interest? *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2015;145: w14120.
- 9 Freedman LP, Cockburn IM, Simcoe TS. The Economics of Reproducibility in Preclinical Research. *PLoS Biol*. 2015; 13:e1002165.
- 10 Easterbrook PJ, Berlin JA, Gopalan R, Matthews DR. Publication bias in clinical research. *Lancet*. 1991; 337:867–72.
- 11 Rising K, Bacchetti P, Bero L. Reporting bias in drug trials submitted to the Food and Drug Administration: review of publication and presentation. *PLoS Med*. 2008; 5:e217; discussion e217.
- 12 Korevaar DA, Wang J, van Enst WA, Leeflang MM, Hooft L, Smidt N, Bossuyt PM. Reporting diagnostic accuracy studies: some improvements after 10 years of STARD. *Radiology*, 2015; 274: 781–9.
- 13 Muir Gray JA. Medical Journal can be less biased. In book: *Better doctors better patients decisions: Envisioning health care 2020*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press; 2011.
- 14 Moher D, Hopewell S, Schulz KF, Montori V, Gotzsche PC, Devereaux PJ, Elbourne D, Egger M, Altman DG. CONSORT 2010 Explanation and Elaboration: Updated guidelines for reporting parallel group randomised trials. *J Clin Epidemiol*. 2010; 63:e1-37.
- 15 Vandembroucke JP, von Elm E, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, Mulrow CD, Pocock SJ, Poole C, Schlesselman JJ, Egger M. Strengthening the Reporting of Observational Studies in Epidemiology (STROBE): explanation and elaboration. *Epidemiology*. 2007; 18:805–35.
- 16 Blümle A, Von Elm E, Antes G, Meerpohl JJ. Messung und Bewertung der Studienqualität und Berichtsqualität. *Z. Evid. Fortbild. Qual. Gesundh. wesen (ZEFQ)*. 2014; 108:495–503.
- 17 Schweiz AdW. Wissenschaftliche Integrität: Grundsätze und Verfahrensregeln. 2008.
- 18 Palazzo G, Krings F, Hoffrage U. Ethical Blindness. *J Bus Ethics*. 2012; 109:323–38.
- 19 Juni P, Altman DG, Egger M. Systematic reviews in health care: Assessing the quality of controlled clinical trials. *Bmj*, 2001; 323: 42–6.
- 20 Dwan K, Gamble C, Williamson PR, Kirkham JJ. Systematic review of the empirical evidence of study publication bias and outcome reporting bias – an updated review. *PLoS One*. 2013; 8:e66844.
- 21 Kirkham JJ, Dwan KM, Altman DG, Gamble C, Dodd S, Smyth R, Williamson PR. The impact of outcome reporting bias in randomised controlled trials on a cohort of systematic reviews. *BMJ*. 2010; 340:c365.
- 22 Melander H, Ahlqvist-Rastad J, Meijer G, Beermann B. Evidence based medicine – selective reporting from studies sponsored by pharmaceutical industry: review of studies in new drug applications. *BMJ* 2003; 326: 1171–3.
- 23 Jones CW, Handler L, Crowell KE, Keil LG, Weaver MA, Platts-Mills TF. Non-publication of large randomized clinical trials: cross sectional analysis. *BMJ*. 2013; 347:f6104.
- 24 Song F, Parekh S, Hooper L, Loke YK, Ryder J, Sutton AJ, Hing C, Kwok CS, Pang C, Harvey I. Dissemination and publication of research findings: an updated review of related biases. *Health Technol Assess*. 2010; 14: iii, ix–xi, 1–193.
- 25 Ioannidis JP. Why most published research findings are false. *PLoS Med*. 2005; 2:e124.
- 26 Binswanger M. Der Publikationswettbewerb in der Forschung: Arroganzen, Ignoranzen, Redundanzen. *LIFIS Online*, 2011; www.leibniz-institut.de.
- 27 Kaiser T, Ewers H, Waltering A, Beckwermert D, Jennen C, Sawicki PT. Sind die Aussagen medizinischer Werbeprospekte korrekt? *arznei-telegramm*, 2004; 35.
- 28 Krumholz HM. Open science and data sharing in clinical research: basing informed decisions on the totality of the evidence. *Circ Cardiovasc Qual Outcomes*, 2012; 5:141–2.
- 29 Fröhlich G. Peer Review und Abweisungsrate. *Lehre & Forschung* 2007; 6: 338–9.
- 30 FMH/SAMW. Rechtliche Grundlagen im medizinischen Alltag. 2013; Kapitel 5 Forschung am Menschen.
- 31 SAMW. Zusammenarbeit Ärzteschaft – Industrie. 2013.
- 32 Higgins JP, Altman DG, Gotzsche PC, Juni P, Moher D, Oxman AD, Savovic J, Schulz KF, Weeks L, Sterne JA. The Cochrane Collaboration's tool for assessing risk of bias in randomised trials. *BMJ*. 2011; 343:d5928.
- 33 Golub RM, Fontanarosa PB. Researchers, readers, and reporting guidelines: writing between the lines. *Jama*, 2015; 313: 1625–6.
- 34 Aguzzi A. Scientific publishing in the times of open access. *Swiss Med Wkly*. 2015; 145: w14118.
- 35 Decullier E, Huot L, Samson G, Maisonneuve H. Visibility of retractions: a cross-sectional one-year study. *BMC Res Notes*. 2013;6:238.